

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/584,585	05/31/2000	Howard Roy Stuart	148-026 (Stuart 3)	4650	
. 75	05/05/2003				
Kenneth F Florek Esq			EXAMINER		
1185 Avenue of			VY, HUNG T		
New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
	•		DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	cation No. Applicant(s)			
	09/584,585	STUART, HOWARD ROY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hung T Vy	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replaction. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3), a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3), and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute. cause the application to become ARAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>17 March 200</i> 3				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)		·			
3) Since this application is in condition fo					
closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the app	lication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		0 . 0			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.	·	Paulos			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement. SUF	PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex		TOWNOLOGY CENTER 5800			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		Evaminar			
Applicant may not request that any objection					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	ge provisional application has been omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	received. 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	48) 5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) O	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9			

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In response to the amendment filed on dated 03/17/2003, claims 1-13 and 15-30 are pending in this application as a result of the addition of claim 30 and the cancellation of claims 14.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of the AIPA and H.R. 2215 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

# A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/584,585

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 1, 6, 9-13, and 15-30 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Kawabata et al. Pub. No 2001/0040679 (issued patent) or Scherer et al., Patent No. 6,534,798.

Regarding claim 1 and 10, Kawabata et al. discloses an optical structure for processing optical energy comprising a metal layer (22) having a first surface comprising a plurality of voids (See Fig 1) and it is inherent that the void should have a dimension less than the wavelength of optical energy being processed and active or non-linear material substantially (light transmitting medium or active layer (23) and semiconductor material (See paragraphs 43-45)) wherein the plurality of voids in the metal layer (22) exhibit localized plasma resonances that enhance emission and absorption of optical energy through the active or non-linear material (See paragraphs 23-29); and substrate (21) for supporting the metal layer (See fig 1).

Regarding claims 6 and 9, Kawabata et al. discloses the structure wherein said voids are arranged in an ordered array (See fig 1) and metal layer is greater than 50 nm thick (See paragraph 32).

Regarding claims 11-13 and 15-16, Kawabata et al. disclose the structure where active or non-liner material is placed adjacent the voids (active layer or light transmitting medium) in side the voids, in the form of a layer on top of metal layer (22)(See paragraph 22-29).

With respect to claims 18-27, the methods for optical processing are considered as product by process steps.

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claims 17, and 28-30, It is inherent that the structure which is in the form of a laser an LED, a wavelength converter, sensor or a switch.

Regarding claim 1 and 10, Scherer et al. disclose an optical structure for processing optical energy comprising a metal layer (18) having a first surface comprising a plurality of voids (See Fig 1f, g and h) and it is inherent that the void should have a dimension less than the wavelength of optical energy being processed and active or non-linear material substantially (quantum well (5) and semiconductor material (See column 4, line 45-55)) wherein the plurality of voids in the metal layer exhibit localized plasma resonances that enhance emission and absorption of optical energy through the active or non-linear material (See column 5, line 60-67 and column 6, line 1-37); and substrate (14) for supporting the metal layer (See fig 1, 2, 3).

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kawabata et al., Pub No. 20010040679 or Scherer et al., U.S. patent No. 6,534,798.

Application/Control Number: 09/584,585 Page 5

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding to claims 5, Kawabata et al. or Scherer et al. discloses the claimed invention except for diameter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the same range as this application, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claims 2-4 and 7-8, Kawabata et al. or Scherer et al. discloses the claimed invention except for voids are cylindrical holes passing through said metal layer and voids are arranged in a triangle array. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have arranged as this application, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

## **Citation of Pertinent References**

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patent to Nelson discloses Method and Device for Steering Light, U.S. Patent No. 5,202,785.

The patent to Scott discloses Vertical cavity Surface Emitting Lasers with Consistent Slope Efficiencies, U.S. Patent No. 6,160,834.

# Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/584,585

Art Unit: 2828

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1-13 and 15-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Respect to argument from the application, the application argues about an active or non-linear material but the application does not recite what kind of active or non-linear material in specification and claims so an active or non-linear material can be any material in semiconductor as reference shows.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung VY whose telephone number is (703) 605-0757.

Art Unit: 2828

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul IP can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2828

April 27, 2003